

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,389	03/31/2004	Tadashi Yamamoto	A8701	5820
23373 7	590 11/30/2006		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			EVANS, GEOFFREY S	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			1725	
			DATE MAILED: 11/30/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Ederations of time may be available under the provided and 37 CFR 1.13(a), in a overt, however, may a reply be limby filed in the communication of 37 CFR 1.13(a), in a overt, however, may a reply be limby filed in 180 period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (ii) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure for reply very within the set or cented period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory specified will apply and will expire SIX (ii) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure for reply very within the set or cented period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory series will expire set SIX (ii) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Part of the communication of the communication of the communication of the communication.  Part of the communication of the communication of the communication of the communication.  Status  1)  Responsive to communication (s) filed on 08 September 2006.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-84 (s/are pending in the application.  4)  Claim(s) 1-84 (s/are rejected.  The drawing(s) filed on 1-84 (s/are allowed.  By Claim(s) 1-84 (s/are rejected.  The drawing(s) filed on 1-84 (s/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-84 (s/are rejected.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) in				7
Examiner   Ceoffrey S. Evans   1725		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Cooling y S. Evans   1725		10/813,389	YAMAMOTO ET AL.	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extension of time may be available under the proteined of JC FR 1.13(b), in row event, however, as reply be timely filled the proteined for the proteined of JC FR 1.13(b), in row event, however, as reply be timely filled the proteined above, the machine addition period will apply and will expire 30 (6) MONTH'S fem the natiling date of this communication.  Failure to reply is pendified above, the machine addition period will apply and will expire 30 (6) MONTH'S fem the natiling date of this communication.  Failure to reply is pendified above, the machine addition period will apply and will expire 30 (6) MONTH'S fem the natiling date of this communication.  Failure to reply is pendified above, the machine addition period will apply and will expire 30 (6) MONTH'S fem the natiling date of this communication.  Failure to reply is pendified above, the machine addition period will apply and will expire 30 (6) MONTH'S fem the natiling date of this communication.  Failure to reply is pendified above, the machine addition and the period will apply and will expire 30 (6) MONTH'S fem the natiling date of this communication.  Status  Status  Status  Status  Status  Responsive to communication(s) filled on @8 September 2006.  2a   This action is FINAL. 2b   3 (1) This action is non-final.  3)   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exp part Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)   Claim(s)   1-24 I star epending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s)   is/are ending in the application of Claims   is/are ending to the protein the application is objected to by the Examiner.  10)   The drawing(s) filled on   is	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Interest (5) (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If No period for reply is specified above, the maximum tactificity period will apply and will eaple to K(9) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If No period for reply is period above, the maximum tactificity period will apply and will eaple K(9) (MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If No period for reply is period above, the maximum tactificity period will apply and will eaple K(9) (MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If No period for reply is period above, the maximum tactificity period will apply and will eaple K(9) (MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If No period the subject to communication (S) filed on (9) September 2006.    2a		,		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Exercision for item may be available under the previous of 30° CFR 1.180°, in ne avent, however, may a reply be timely fled  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will acquire SIX (6) MONTIS from the nating del of this communication.  Pallure for reply which the set of rendended period for reply likely status, cause the application to become ARANDONEO (35 U.S. C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any seatore patient time application.  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 September 2006.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-84 Is/are repected.  5) Claim(s) 1-84 Is/are rejected.  5) Claim(s) 1-84 Is/are rejected to.  8) Claim(s) 3-84 Is/are rejected to.  8) Claim(s) 3-84 Is/are rejected to.  9) Claim(s) 3-84 Is/are rejected to by the Examiner.  4) Provide the provided provided to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sea 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) edjected to. Sea 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some* c) Monte of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Co	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address -	-
This action is FINAL.   2b)   This action is non-final.	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing.	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from (6), cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communica D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4 Claim(s) 1-84 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) 1-84 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9 Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers  9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some coll None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status			
4) Claim(s) 1-84 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☒ This 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		s is
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Disposition of Claims		•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Beferences Cited (PTO-892)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-84 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrections.	wn from consideration.  or election requirement.  er.  cepted or b) objected to by the language of the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Notice of Informal Patent Application	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau	ts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Notice of Informal Patent Application		•		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Notice of Informal Patent Application		🗖		
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P	ate	

Art Unit: 1725

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 22,23,24,25,26,27,29,30,31,32,33,34,66,67,68-74,84 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sun et al. in U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2006/0131288 A1. Sun et al. discloses material processing using each ultrashort laser pulse to ablate a sublayer (see paragraph 58) of the workpiece and selecting the appropriate pulse parameters (e.g. energy, see paragraph 71) including lengths (i.e. width, see paragraph 71). Sun et al. further discloses laser pulses in the femtosecond and picosecond ranges (see paragraph 57).
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1,2,4,5,7,9,10,11,12,14,15,17,19,20,21,22,29,30, 31,32,33,48,49, 50,52,53,54,55,56,66,69,70,71-74,84 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sun et al. in U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2006/0131288

Art Unit: 1725

A1. Sun et al. discloses material processing using each ultrashort laser pulse to ablate a sublayer (see paragraph 58) of the workpiece and selecting the appropriate pulse parameters (e.g. energy, see paragraph 71) including lengths (i.e.width, see paragraph 71) and of various wavelengths (e.g. see claim 22 of Sun et al.). This discloses to one of ordinary skill in the art the second pulse width can be shorter than the first pulse width. Sun et al. further discloses laser pulses in the femtosecond and picosecond ranges (see paragraph 57).

Page 3

- 5. Claims 3 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sun et al. in U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2006/0131288 A1 as applied to claim 1 above and further in view of Jennings in U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0196995A. Jennings teaches measuring pulse width at full width half maximum (see paragraph 36). It would have been obvious to adapt Sun et al. in view of Jennings to provide this to measure the pulse widths by a known standard.
- 6. Claims 6,16,24-28,51, and 68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sun et al. in U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2006/0131288 A1 as applied to claims 1,12,22, 48, and 66 and further in view of Sasaki et al. in U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0216012 A1. Sasaki et al. teaches using pulses of different vectors of polarization (see paragraph 136). It would have been obvious to adapt Sun et al. in view of Sasaki to provide this so that more energy is absorbed by the material.
- 7. Claims 8,18,23,53,67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sun et al. (288) as applied to claims 1,12,22,48 and 66 above, and further in view

Art Unit: 1725

of Yamazaki et al. in U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0214411. Yamazaki et al. teach laser processing with a pulse frequency greater than 100 kHz (see paragraph 122). It would have been obvious to adapt Sun et al. in view of Yamazaki et al. to provide this to efficiently laser process the material.

Page 4

- 8. Claims 35,36,39,40,41,43-47,57,58,59,61,63-65,75,78,79-83,84 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over unpatentable over Sun et al. in U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2006/0131288 A1 in view of Forsman et al. in U.S. Patent No. 6,664,498. Sun et al. discloses material processing using each ultrashort laser pulse to ablate a sublayer (see paragraph 58) of the workpiece and selecting the appropriate pulse parameters (e.g. energy, see paragraph 71) including lengths (i.e. width, see paragraph 71) and of various wavelengths (e.g. see claim 22 of Sun et al.). This discloses to one of ordinary skill in the art the second pulse width can be shorter than the first pulse width. Sun et al. further discloses laser pulses in the femtosecond and picosecond ranges (see paragraph 57). Sun et al. does not disclose overlapping pulses. Forsman et al. teach overlapping pulses (see figure 2B). It would have been obvious to adapt Sun et al. in view of Forsman et al. to provide this to enhance machining removal rate.
- 9. Claims 37,62 and 76 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sun et al. in U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2006/0131288 A1 in view of Forsman et al. in U.S. Patent No. 6,664,498 as applied to claims 35,57 and 75 above, and further in view of Yamazaki et al. in U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0214411. Yamazaki et al. teach laser processing with a pulse frequency greater

Art Unit: 1725

than 100 kHz (see paragraph 122). It would have been obvious to adapt Sun et al. in view of Forsman et al and Yamazaki et al. to provide to efficiently laser process the material.

Page 5

- 10. Claims 38,42,60 and 77 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sun et al. in U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2006/0131288 A1 in view of Forsman et al. in U.S. Patent No. 6,664,498 as applied to claims 35,57 and 75 above, and further in view of Sasaki et al. in U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0216012 A1. Sasaki et al. teaches using pulses of different vectors of polarization (see paragraph 136). It would have been obvious to adapt Sun et al. in view of Forsman et al. and Sasaki to provide this so that more energy is absorbed by the material.
- 11. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims of record have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Geoffrey S Evans whose telephone number is (571)-272-1174. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 6:30AM to 4:00 PM, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Pat Ryan can be reached on (571)-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)-273-8300.

GSE

Primary Examiner
Group 1700